

HYDERABAD URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

(HUDA)

Clarifications to the Queries/Suggestions raised by the prospective Bidders for Consultancy Package Reference No. ORR/PH-IIA/BOT/IC1, ORR/PH-IIA/BOT/IC2 Invited in the Bid Notice No. HGCL/CGM (T)/ORR/8/2007-08 Dated: - 26-6-2007.

S.No.	Name of the bidder	Reference to RFP Document	Query / Suggestion	Clarification/ Response
1.	M/s The Louis Berger Group, Inc	Clause 3.4 (ii) on Page 7 of RFP	Clause 3,4 (ii) specifies that majority of proposed key professional staff must be permanent staff of the firm. In the current consulting engineering scenario, it is probably not possible to have permanent full time employees waiting for assignments as most staffs are engaged in some project or the other. This being the case, we request the Department to kindly relax the requirement. Also, if this condition is relaxed, it will be possible to identify candidates that are more suitable for the given project and with a scheduled date of availability for this assignment.	The terms and conditions of RFP remain un-changed.
2.	M/s The Louis Berger Group, Inc	Clause 8.2 on Page 48 of RFP document	Clause 8.2 specifies that the services of Independent Consultancy shall initially be for a period of 48 months which include a Design and Construction period of 30 months. This being the case, we understand that the project stages would be as under: (a) Design and Construction Period: 30 months (b) Operation and Maintenance Period: 18 months. Please clarify.	Yes

3.	M/s The Louis Berger Group, Inc	Clause 10 on Page 3 of RFP document	Clause 10 specifies the submission of proposal as August 1, 2007 (up to 1300 hrs). In order to enable us to prepare and submit a comprehensive proposal, we humbly request the Department to kindly extend the date of submission of proposal by at least two weeks from the date specified in the RFP document.	The terms and conditions of RFP remain un-changed.
4.	M/s Consulting Engineering Services (INDIA) Private ltd		Consider for extension of time for 3 weeks for submission of the proposal in order to enable us to submit a competitive and meaningful proposal.	The terms and conditions of RFP remain un-changed.
5.	M/s Scott Wilson India Pvt Ltd		It's been a recently followed procedure in similar projects in India, to permit one set of Team to bid for more than one package. The RFP doesn't seem to permit this procedure. We request you to reconsider.	Please refer to Para 7 of Letter of Invitation wherein it is clearly stated that if the firm is applying for both the packages they have to propose separate team for each package.
6.	M/s Scott Wilson India Pvt Ltd		The Methodology and Work Plan is generally limited to two sheets in similar projects. The RFP hasn't put any limit to the total number of sheets that the methodology and work plan need to be submitted as per Appendix B-4. Please confirm the limit to the total number of sheets for this section.	The Methodology and Work Plan needs to be comprehensive and precise. The terms and conditions of RFP remain un-changed.
7.	M/s Scott Wilson India Pvt Ltd.		Please confirm if only one Original bid is to be submitted and no copies are required of the Technical and Financial Proposals.	Please refer to Clause 4.3 of Sec.2 (Information to Consultants). It stipulates for submission of one original proposal only.